

**Section IV. Quarterly School Improvement Monitoring Tool 2006-2007**

Strategy/Activity (brief description) Reference Page Number in School Improvement Plan (If applicable)	Start Date	Evaluation Date	Instrument Used to Determine Activity Effectiveness / Results	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring and Process for Monitoring	Level of Implementation 4 Exemplary 3 Fully 2 Limited 1 Low Level 0 No Evidence	What are the barriers or obstacles in implementing the activity? Discuss contributing factors for the student outcomes. Based on the results (data), what are your next steps to increase student achievement?																
<p>Strategy: 1.1.1, 5.1.1</p> <p>Activity 1: Five sections of English 10 will meet everyday for 80 minutes and will have an Accelerated Learning component to provide additional assistance in English 10 instruction. Students were selected based on their benchmark scores and grades. Additional time will be spent on teaching skills from the benchmark assessments that were identified as areas of weakness. Two sections will have special education teachers.</p> <p>Page 18</p>	8/06	10/06	<p><b>A. Instruments Used:</b>                      Informal/Formal Observations                      √Quarterly Benchmarks                      Teacher Made Assessment Results                      Public Release Items: BCRs and ECRs</p> <p><b>B. Results (include data):</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="709 688 1003 873"> <thead> <tr> <th>Qtr1 Bench-mark</th> <th>AD V</th> <th>PROF</th> <th>BAS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Eng10/AL</td> <td>20%</td> <td>53%</td> <td>27%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ENG10</td> <td>40%</td> <td>49%</td> <td>11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>School</td> <td>20%</td> <td>51%</td> <td>29%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Qtr1 Bench-mark	AD V	PROF	BAS	Eng10/AL	20%	53%	27%	ENG10	40%	49%	11%	School	20%	51%	29%	<p><b>A. Person(s) Responsible:</b>                      Principal                      Assistant Principals                      Teacher Coordinator                      Instructional Coordinator</p> <p><b>B. Process for Monitoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observations</li> <li>• Weekly Instructional Department Meetings</li> <li>• Monthly Data Collection in Department</li> <li>• SPMT: Quarterly Data Utilization Meetings</li> </ul>	3	<p><b>Barriers/Obstacles &amp; Contributing Factors:</b></p> <p>On the benchmark, our students showed positive progress. The greatest number of students had difficulty with Indicator 1.2.3; 45% of our students scored Basic. The AL sections are keeping pace with the every other day English sections. Greater focus and remediation for those students who scored Basic. Teachers reviewed the results with the students and developed an action plan for addressing the weaknesses in their classes. Teachers are becoming more familiar with the information provided in Edusoft; however, most still are not proficient at using this program. Department-wide BCRs will continue to be used. Time for scoring and planning is an on-going obstacle.</p> <p><b>Next Steps:</b> Teachers must continue to plan collaboratively, examine their students' data, and use Edusoft to generate data. Teachers who meet after school hours will be compensated out of School Improvement funds. The school will send home a benchmark report to parents, and administrators will visit classes to stress the importance of doing well and to recognize the students who achieved the highest scores for each class by presenting a certificate.</p>
Qtr1 Bench-mark	AD V	PROF	BAS																			
Eng10/AL	20%	53%	27%																			
ENG10	40%	49%	11%																			
School	20%	51%	29%																			

**Section IV. Quarterly School Improvement Monitoring Tool 2006-2007**

Strategy/Activity (brief description) Reference Page Number in School Improvement Plan (if applicable)	Start Date	Evaluation Date	Instrument Used to Determine Activity Effectiveness / Results	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring and Process for Monitoring	Level of Implementation 4 Exemplary 3 Fully 2 Limited 1 Low Level 0 No Evidence	What are the barriers or obstacles in implementing the activity? Discuss contributing factors for the student outcomes. Based on the results (data), what are your next steps to increase student achievement?
<p>Strategy: 1.1.1, 5.1.1 Activity 2: English 9 will be offered with an Accelerated Learning class for 80 minutes to provide additional assistance in English 9 instruction—seven sections have an AL component. Students were selected based on their SRI reading levels. Scores were obtained from our feeder Middle Schools.</p> <p>Page 19</p>	8/06	10/06	<p><u>A. Instruments Used:</u> Informal/Formal Observations Quarterly Benchmarks Teacher Made Assessment Results √Public Release Items: BCRs and ECRs</p> <p><u>B. Results (include data):</u></p> <p>Results from the Public Release Practice H.S.A. English 10 given on October 18, 2006, are still being collected and analyzed. The mandatory BCRs and ECRs from the curriculum guide have been given and graded. Data collection is still in progress.</p>	<p><u>A. Person(s) Responsible:</u> Principal Assistant Principals Teacher Coordinator Instructional Coordinator</p> <p><u>B. Process for Monitoring:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observations</li> <li>• Weekly Instructional Department Meetings</li> <li>• Monthly Data Collection in Department</li> <li>• SPMT: Quarterly Data Utilization Meetings</li> </ul>	3	<p><u>Barriers/Obstacles &amp; Contributing Factors:</u></p> <p>The October 18 H.S.A. assessment had a number of administration variables that precluded an immediate data collection. (Students did not accurately bubble in appropriate omitted items.) Teachers are now re-administering parts of the practice test and using it as a teaching tool. Additional obstacles include: time for grading assessments and consistency of approach by the teachers.</p> <p><u>Next Steps:</u> Teachers will continue to plan collaboratively and follow the pacing guides. The Department Chair will finish gathering the data that is available and the teachers will plan their instruction accordingly.</p>

**Section IV. Quarterly School Improvement Monitoring Tool 2006-2007**

Strategy/Activity (brief description) Reference Page Number in School Improvement Plan (if applicable)	Start Date	Evaluation Date	Instrument Used to Determine Activity Effectiveness / Results	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring and Process for Monitoring	Level of Implementation 4 Exemplary 3 Fully 2 Limited 1 Low Level 0 No Evidence	What are the barriers or obstacles in implementing the activity? Discuss contributing factors for the student outcomes. Based on the results (data), what are your next steps to increase student achievement?								
<p>Strategy: 1.1.1, 5.1.1 Activity 3: Six sections of Algebra 1 will be offered. Two of those sections will have ITV support; four sections will have an Accelerated Learning class on alternating days. Additional time will be spent on teaching skills from the benchmark assessment that were identified as low achieving. Algebra teachers will be given pacing guides that will be utilized to ensure that the content taught is aligned with MSA/HSA Content Standards. The same end of unit/chapter assessment will be given by all Algebra teachers to analyze student progress and instructional effectiveness. Assessment items will be selected from the mdk12 website that is aligned with the CLG. Instruction will be adjusted based on immediate feedback from Edusoft assessments.</p> <p>Page 21</p>	8/06	10/06	<p><u>A. Instruments Used:</u> Informal/Formal Observations √Quarterly Benchmarks √CFPG Teacher Made Assessment Results Public Release Items</p> <p><u>B. Results (include data):</u></p> <p>The same chapter/unit assessments are being given. Data is still with the individual teachers. The four Algebra teachers will be meeting to discuss.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="737 824 1052 971"> <thead> <tr> <th>Qtr1 Bench- mark</th> <th>ADV</th> <th>PROF</th> <th>BAS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Algebra 1</td> <td>13%</td> <td>37%</td> <td>49%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Qtr1 Bench- mark	ADV	PROF	BAS	Algebra 1	13%	37%	49%	<p><u>A. Person(s) Responsible:</u> Principal Assistant Principals Teacher Coordinator Instructional Coordinator</p> <p><u>B. Process for Monitoring:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observations</li> <li>• Weekly Instructional Department Meetings</li> <li>• Monthly Data Collection in Department</li> <li>• SPMT: Data Utilization Meetings</li> </ul>	2	<p><u>Barriers/Obstacles &amp; Contributing Factors:</u></p> <p>Technology, time, and effective collaborative planning continue to be obstacles. Teachers are not maximizing the capabilities of Edusoft. In addition, the majority of our students are in the basic performance category in all indicators except 1.1.3. This deals with one-variable polynomials.</p> <p><u>Next Steps:</u></p> <p>Additional training will be scheduled so teachers are more knowledgeable and comfortable with the technology. Teachers will continue to collaboratively plan, as well as develop an action plan for each class, based on their benchmark data. All results will be reviewed with the students in class. The school will send home a benchmark report to parents, and administrators will visit classes to stress the importance of doing well and to recognize the students who achieved the highest scores for each class by presenting a certificate.</p>
Qtr1 Bench- mark	ADV	PROF	BAS											
Algebra 1	13%	37%	49%											

**Section IV. Quarterly School Improvement Monitoring Tool 2006-2007**

Strategy/Activity (brief description) Reference Page Number in School Improvement Plan (if applicable)	Start Date	Evaluation Date	Instrument Used to Determine Activity Effectiveness / Results	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring and Process for Monitoring	Level of Implementation 4 Exemplary 3 Fully 2 Limited 1 Low Level 0 No Evidence	What are the barriers or obstacles in implementing the activity? Discuss contributing factors for the student outcomes. Based on the results (data), what are your next steps to increase student achievement?
<p>Strategy: 1.1.1 Activity 4: Students will be given a content-specific reading assignment weekly during period 3 for a minimum of 30 minutes. The Reciprocal Teaching model as well as before/during and after reading strategies will be used to ensure student comprehension of the content read.</p> <p>Page 20</p>	8/06	10/06	<p><u>A. Instruments Used:</u> √ Informal/Formal Observations Public Release Items Benchmarks Teacher feedback based on reading activity grades</p> <p><u>B. Results (include data):</u>  Informal observations reveal that the school is not consistent in having students engaged in a reading activity each Wednesday during 3<sup>rd</sup> period.</p>	<p><u>A. Person(s) Responsible:</u> Principal Assistant Principals All Teacher Coordinators All Department Chairs Instructional Coordinator</p> <p><u>B. Process for Monitoring:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observations</li> <li>• Instructional Department Meetings</li> <li>• Instructional Council Meetings</li> <li>• SPMT: Quarterly Data Utilization Meetings</li> </ul>	3	<p><u>Barriers/Obstacles &amp; Contributing Factors:</u>  The number of new teachers joining the staff proved to be an obstacle as their focus first quarter was often on logistical and content specific curriculum issues. This activity was not stressed as a priority for first quarter by the instructional coordinator or administration. Many departments are being successful in consistent implementation.</p> <p><u>Next Steps:</u> Weekly email reminders will be used for second quarter. Reminders will include various reading strategies. Reciprocal teaching will be addressed at a new teacher meeting. The instructional leadership will monitor more closely this activity. Departments will continue to plan for this activity.</p>

**Section IV. Quarterly School Improvement Monitoring Tool 2006-2007**

Strategy/Activity (brief description) Reference Page Number in School Improvement Plan (if applicable)	Start Date	Evaluation Date	Instrument Used to Determine Activity Effectiveness / Results	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring and Process for Monitoring	Level of Implementation 4 Exemplary 3 Fully 2 Limited 1 Low Level 0 No Evidence	What are the barriers or obstacles in implementing the activity? Discuss contributing factors for the student outcomes. Based on the results (data), what are your next steps to increase student achievement?
<p>Strategy: 1.1.5, 5.1.2 Activity 5: Students in grades 11 and 12 will have the opportunity to participate in an SAT Preparation course. A school-wide Word of the Day program will be implemented as well as biweekly writing prompts and mathematics problems to assist all students with preparation for the SAT. On October 18, 2006, all 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> graders will take the PSAT, and as well as targeted 9<sup>th</sup> graders. Results will be shared with all students and their parents.</p> <p>Page 22</p>	8/06	10/06	<p><u>A. Instruments Used:</u> √ Informal/Formal Observations PSAT/SAT Results</p> <p><u>B. Results (include data):</u></p> <p>PSAT/SAT results are not yet available. The SAT Word of the Day program is being implemented in daily lessons throughout the building. The Math and English Departments are using prompts and math problems biweekly.</p>	<p><u>A. Person(s) Responsible:</u> Principal Assistant Principals Teacher Coordinator Instructional Coordinator SAT Coordinator</p> <p><u>B. Process for Monitoring:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observations</li> <li>• Instructional Department Meetings</li> <li>• Instructional Council</li> <li>• SPMT: Quarterly Data Utilization Meetings</li> </ul>	3	<p><u>Barriers/Obstacles &amp; Contributing Factors:</u></p> <p>Timeliness of PSAT/SAT results is an obstacle. The PSAT classes are proceeding nonetheless.</p> <p><u>Next Steps:</u></p> <p>SAT Word of the Day will be targeted based on vocabulary needs for the H.S.A. courses for quarters three and four. Assistant Principals will continue to monitor the bi-weekly activities. Math Department will collaborate with the TV Production class to plan and implement a Wednesday math activity to be shared over the morning announcements. SAT Coordinator will contact an SAT Consultant to arrange for workshops for our students. Counseling Center will investigate SAT Calendar options.</p>

**Section IV. Quarterly School Improvement Monitoring Tool 2006-2007**

Strategy/Activity (brief description) Reference Page Number in School Improvement Plan (if applicable)	Start Date	Evaluation Date	Instrument Used to Determine Activity Effectiveness / Results	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring and Process for Monitoring	Level of Implementation 4 Exemplary 3 Fully 2 Limited 1 Low Level 0 No Evidence	What are the barriers or obstacles in implementing the activity? Discuss contributing factors for the student outcomes. Based on the results (data), what are your next steps to increase student achievement?
<p>Strategy: 7.1.1 Activity 6: The School Improvement Plan will be placed on the website for parent feedback and review beginning October 2006. SPMT meeting dates are distributed at each PTSA meeting soliciting additional parent support. Two parent conference nights will be implemented to assist parents in monitoring their child's academic progress. A quarterly instructional newsletter will be sent to parents electronically and placed on the school website to keep parents informed of instructional tips for student improvement. Each month dates for SPMT, PTSA and Conference Nights will be disseminated. Counseling Department will provide a counseling newsletter and parent information nights.</p> <p>Page 23</p>	8/06	10/06	<p><u>A. Instruments Used:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey results from PTSA meetings and Parent Conference Nights</li> <li>• Feedback from parent representative at SIP and SPMT</li> </ul> <p><u>B. Results (include data):</u></p> <p>The SIP has not been posted on the Website, just Blackboard. A parent representative has been identified, and she is an involved member of the SIPT/Instructional Council. The PTSA has reorganized. The staff has voted to hold the evening parent conferences.</p>	<p><u>A. Person(s) Responsible:</u> Principal Technology Coordinator</p> <p><u>B. Process for Monitoring:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increased membership in PTSA</li> <li>• Increased membership on SPMT</li> <li>• Increased website visits</li> </ul>	2	<p><u>Barriers/Obstacles &amp; Contributing Factors:</u></p> <p>Our technology coordinator is new and is updating and revising the website.</p> <p><u>Next Steps:</u> The updated SIP will be posted on the website on December 1, 2006. The school will continue to cultivate communication with the parents. The school will send home an H.S.A. Benchmark report and letter to the parents. The parent liaison position will be filled.</p>