

1818 New York Ave. NE, Ste 231, Washington, DC 20002

December 30, 2020

Prince George's County Public Schools Environmental Safety Office 13306 Old Marlboro Pike Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

- Attention: Alex Baylor alex.baylor@pgcps.org
- Subject: Indoor Air Quality Survey James Ryder Randall Elementary School 5410 Kirby Road, #1421 Clinton, MD 20735

Mr. Baylor:

On December 4, 2020, a Soil and Land Use Technology, Inc. (SaLUT) Industrial Hygienist conducted an indoor air quality (IAQ) evaluation at James Ryder Randall Elementary School, a property maintained by Prince George's County Public Schools (PGCPS) located at 5410 Kirby Road, #1421, Clinton, MD 20784. The inspection was performed in accordance with PGCPS contract number IFB 022-19.

#### Methodology

The IAQ evaluation conducted by SaLUT included a visual assessment, IAQ instrumentation screening, and a collection of interior air samples for mold in representative locations throughout the building. Additionally, one building exterior environmental air sample was taken for comparison.

Air-borne fungal spore samples were collected on *Air-O-Cell* cassettes using a Buck BioAire calibrated pump. The air samples were taken between three and five feet from the ground. In tandem with collecting mold samples, real-time readings for carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, temperature and relative humidity were collected using a Fluke 975 Air Meter in representative areas within the facility.

The fungal spore air samples were delivered to EMSL Analytical, Inc. of Beltsville, Maryland for analysis. Fungal spores and particulates in air samples were analyzed by Optical Microscopy (methods EMSL 05-TP-003 and ASTM D7391). The sample chain-of-custody and laboratory reports are attached.

#### **Observations**

The table below summarizes the main observations from the IAQ survey at James Ryder Randall Elementary School, visited on December 4, 2020.

Location	Summary of Observations 12-4-2020
Hallway between 101	2'x4' ceiling tiles and 1'x1' tile floor;
and 102	No visual signs of microbial growth, and no odor;
	No visible dust on floor/other furniture surfaces;
	No visible dust around ventilator;
	Central AC.
Hallway in front of	2'x4' ceiling tiles and 1'x1' tile floor;
107	No visual signs of microbial growth, and no odor;
	No visible dust on floor/other furniture surfaces;
	No visible dust around ventilator;
	Central AC.
Hallway between 111	2'x4' ceiling tiles and 1'x1' tile floor;
and 112	No visual signs of microbial growth;
	Mild odor;
	Stained ceiling tiles;
	No visible dust on floor/other furniture surfaces;
	No visible dust around ventilator;
	Central AC.
Hallway in front of	2'x4' ceiling tiles and 1'x1' tile floor;
Health Room	No visual signs of microbial growth, and no odor;
	No visible dust on floor/other furniture surfaces;
	No visible dust around ventilator;
	Central AC.
Hallway in front of	2'x4' ceiling tiles and 1'x1' tile floor;
Storage 01	No visual signs of microbial growth, and no odor;
	No visible dust on floor/other furniture surfaces;
	No visible dust around ventilator;
	Central AC.
Outside Exterior EV	Windy
Sample	

#### **Table 1-Observations**

#### Measurements of Indoor Environmental Quality Parameters

Table 2 depicts a summary of average measurements of comfort.

#### **Temperature**

The American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) have published recommendations for year round acceptable temperatures in Standard 55-2010 *Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy*. The winter comfort range is 20 to 24°C (68 to 75°F) and 23 to 26°C (73 to 79°F) is the summer comfort range. The temperature readings were within the ASHRAE recommended ranges in the representative spaces.



#### **Relative Humidity (RH)**

RH is a key factor for mold growth. Mold has the potential of growing on suitable surfaces with humidity levels above 60%. ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2010 *Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality* recommends a maximum indoor RH of 65% to preclude the likelihood of condensation on cool surfaces encouraging mold growth. The RH readings were within the ASHRAE recommended ranges in the representative areas.

#### Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)

Under conditions of maximum occupancy, ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2010, Appendix C, infers that the acceptable  $CO_2$  upper limit is the prevailing outdoor  $CO_2$  concentration plus 700 parts per million (ppm). On the day of the space evaluation, the outdoor (building exterior)  $CO_2$  concentration was approximately 433 ppm therefore indoor concentrations should not exceed approximately 1,133 ppm (700 + 433). The maximum average interior  $CO_2$  concentration detected was 652 ppm in the Hallway between 111 and 112, a range within the ASHRAE recommendations, per Table 2 below.

#### Carbon Monoxide (CO)

CO is a colorless and odorless gas that is produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon containing fuels. Oil, gasoline, diesel fuels, wood, coke, and coal are major sources of CO. All registered CO concentrations were below the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 9 ppm, per Table 2 below.

# Table 2: James Ryder Randall Elementary School, Instrumental Screening LevelsDecember 4, 2020 (7:30 AM-9:30 AM)

Sample Location	Temp ºF	RH%	CO ppm	CO <sub>2</sub> ppm
Standards	ASHRAE 68 to 75°F*	ASHRAE <65%	NAAQS 9	ASHRAE 1,133
Hallway between 102 and 101	71.6	39.1	0	573
Hallway in front of 107	71.6	40.1	0	555
Hallway between 112 and 111	69.1	35.1	0	652
Hallway in front of Health Room	72.5	37.6	0	466
Hallway in front of Storage 01	71.4	26.8	0	466
Outside Exterior EV Sample	53.6	42.1	0	433

PM – Particulate Matter size °F – Degrees Fahrenheit CO – Carbon Monoxide ppm – parts per million μg/m<sup>3</sup> – micrograms per cubic meter RH% - % Relative Humidity CO<sub>2</sub> – Carbon Dioxide \* - Winter Comfort Range



#### Mold-in-Air Samples

There are no definitive regulations or standardized guidelines for addressing airborne mold in an indoor setting. If building systems (ventilation, envelope) are functioning properly, the indoor population profile should mimic what is encountered outdoors and the concentrations should be below the outdoor (building exterior) environmental sample levels.

Tables 3 summarizes airborne mold spore sampling results and locations. On December 4, 2020, total mold counts in representative samples (spore count/m<sup>3</sup> of air) in all the areas inspected were lower than the outdoor concentrations. Laboratory analysis follows this report (see attachment).

Spore Types	Hallway between 102 and 101	Hallway in front of 107	Hallway between 112 and 111	Hallway in front of Health Room
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	-	-	-	-
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	80	40	80
Basidiospores	400	300	300	460
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-
Cladosporium	-	400	-	-
Curvularia	-	-	-	-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	40
Fusarium	-	-	-	-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-
Myxomycetes++	-	-	40	10
Pithomyces++	-	-	10	10
Rust	-	-	-	10
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-
Insect Fragment	-	-	200	-
Pollen	-	-	-	80
Total Fungi	480	420	390	610

#### Table 3: James Ryder Randall Elementary School – Measurements of Mold-in-Air Samples December 4, 2020 (7:30 AM-9:30 AM)

\* Spore Counts per cubic meter of air (Counts/ $m^3$ ).

++Includes other spores with similar morphology.



#### Table 3: James Ryder Randall Elementary School – Measurements of Mold-in-Air Samples continued December 4, 2020 (7:30 AM-9:30 AM)

Spore Types	Hallway in front of Storage 01	Outside EXT EV sample	Field Blank	
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	30	-	
Ascospores	-	80	-	
Aspergillus/Penicillium	80	300	-	
Basidiospores	200	1500	-	
Bipolaris++	-	40	-	
Chaetomium	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	-	1100	-	
Curvularia	-	40	-	
Epicoccum	-	80	-	
Fusarium	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	-	30	-	
Pithomyces++	-	10	-	
Rust	-	-	-	
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	
Nigrospora	-	10	-	
Hyphal Fragment	-	300	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	
Pollen	-	80	-	
Total Fungi	280	3360	No Trace	

\*Spore Counts per cubic meter of air (Counts/m<sup>3</sup>).

++Includes other spores with similar morphology.



#### **Findings and Conclusions**

The comfort parameters (i.e., temperature, RH, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CO levels) in the representative areas conform to ASHRAE and/or NAAQS guidelines. On December 4, 2020, total mold counts in representative area samples (spore count/m<sup>3</sup> of air) in all the areas inspected were lower than the outdoor concentrations, indicating no amplified mold growth.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide industrial hygiene services for PGCPS. If you have any questions, please contact me at 301.595.3783.

Sincerely,

Systille

Chaminda Jayatilake, PE, CIH, CSP, CHMM Certified Industrial Hygienist Soil and Land Use Technology Inc. (SaLUT)

#### Attachment

Attachment - Mold Spore Sample Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Forms

## Attachment

## Mold Spore Sample Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Forms



#### **EMSL** Analytical, Inc.

5221 Militia Hill Road Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462 Tel/Fax: (610) 828-3102 / (610) 828-3122 http://www.EMSL.com / plymouthmeetinglab@emsl.com

EMSL Order:	182003919
Customer ID:	SALU50
Customer PO:	
Project ID:	

Attention: Indika Jayatilake

SaLUT 1818 New York Avenue, NE Suite 231 Washington, DC 20002 Project: 19-035 - James Ryder Randel Phone: (301) 595-3783 Fax: (301) 595-3787 Collected Date: Received Date: 12/04/2020 01:07 PM Analyzed Date: 12/09/2020

Test Report:Air-	Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)											
Lab Sample Number:						003919-0002 182003919-0003 S2 S3						
Client Sample ID: Volume (L):		75			75		75					
Sample Location:	HW B	etween 112 and	111	н	V Infront of 107	,	HW B	etween 102 and	101			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/M <sup>3</sup>	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/M <sup>3</sup>	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/M <sup>3</sup>	% of Total			
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Aspergillus/Penicillium	1	40	10.3	2	80	19	-	-	-			
Basidiospores	7	300	76.9	8	300	71.4	9	400	83.3			
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Cladosporium	-	-	-	1	40	9.5	-	-	-			
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Myxomycetes++	1	40	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Pithomyces++	1*	10*	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Endophragmia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Nigrospora	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Oidiodendron	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	80	16.7			
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Total Fungi	10	390	100	11	420	100	11	480	100			
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Insect Fragment	4	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Fern/Moss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-	-	42	-			
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-			
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-			
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-			
Background (1-5)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-			

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Muni Un

Kevin Ream, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulates can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "\*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Plymouth Meeting, PA AIHA-LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #178659

Initial report from: 12/09/2020 12:08 PM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC\_M001\_0002\_0002 Printed: 12/09/2020 12:08 PM



#### **EMSL** Analytical, Inc.

5221 Militia Hill Road Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462 Tel/Fax: (610) 828-3102 / (610) 828-3122 http://www.EMSL.com / plymouthmeetinglab@emsl.com EMSL Order: 182003919 Customer ID: SALU50 Customer PO: Project ID:

Attention: Indika Jayatilake

SaLUT 1818 New York Avenue, NE Suite 231 Washington, DC 20002

Project: 19-035 - James Ryder Randel

Phone: (301) 595-3783 Fax: (301) 595-3787 Collected Date: Received Date: 12/04/2020 01:07 PM Analyzed Date: 12/09/2020

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)												
Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID:	182003919-0004 S4 75			S4 S5								
Volume (L): Sample Location:								75				
		ront of Health F			front of Storage				Outside			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/M <sup>3</sup>	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/M <sup>3</sup>	% of Total	Raw Count 2*	Count/M <sup>3</sup> 30*	% of Total			
Alternaria (Ulocladium) Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	30 <sup></sup> 80	0.9 2.4			
Aspergillus/Penicillium	2	- 80	- 13.1	- 2	- 80	- 28.6	7	300	8.9			
Basidiospores	2 11	80 460	75.4	5	200	71.4	35	1500	44.6			
Basidiospores Bipolaris++	11	-	75.4	-	200	71.4	1	40	1.2			
Chaetomium	-	-		-	-	-	-	+0	-			
Cladosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	- 1100	32.7			
Curvularia	_	-		_			1	40	1.2			
Epicoccum	- 1	40	6.6		_	-	2	80	2.4			
Fusarium	-	-	0.0	_			-	-	2.7			
Ganoderma	-	-	-		-	-	_	-	-			
Myxomycetes++	- 1*	10*	1.6	_			2*	30*	0.9			
Pithomyces++	1*	10*	1.6		_	-	1*	10*	0.3			
Rust	1*	10*	1.6	_			-	-	0.0			
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-		-	-	_	-	-			
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	_	_		_	-	-			
Endophragmia	-	_	-	_	-	-	3	100	3			
Nigrospora	-	-	-	_	_		1*	108	0.3			
Oidiodendron	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-			
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	1.2			
Total Fungi	17	610	100	7	280	100	84	3360	100			
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	300	-			
Insect Fragment	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-			
Pollen	2	80	-	-	-	-	2	80	-			
Fern/Moss	-	-	_	_	-	-	- 1*	10*	-			
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	42	-	-	42	-	-	42	-			
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-			
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-			
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-			
Background (1-5)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-			

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Mun Un

Kevin Ream, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report relates the samples are seceived. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulates can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "\*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Plymouth Meeting, PA AIHA-LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #178659

Initial report from: 12/09/2020 12:08 PM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com



#### **EMSL** Analytical, Inc.

5221 Militia Hill Road Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462 Tel/Fax: (610) 828-3102 / (610) 828-3122 http://www.EMSL.com / plymouthmeetinglab@emsl.com

Attention: Indika Jayatilake

SaLUT 1818 New York Avenue, NE Suite 231 Washington, DC 20002 Project: 19-035 - James Ryder Randel Phone: (301) 595-3783 Fax: (301) 595-3787 Collected Date: Received Date: 12/04/2020 01:07 PM Analyzed Date: 12/09/2020

Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location:	1	82003919-0007 S7 Field Blank							
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/M <sup>3</sup>	% of Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ascospores	-	-	-			-			
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-			-			
Basidiospores	-	-	-			-			
Bipolaris++	-	-	-			-			
Chaetomium	-	-	-			-			
Cladosporium	-	-	-			-			
Curvularia	-	-	-			-			
Epicoccum	-	-	-			-			
Fusarium	-	-	-			-			
Ganoderma	-	-	-			-			
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-			-			
Pithomyces++	-	-	-			-			
Rust	-	-	-			-			
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-						
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-			-			
Endophragmia	-	-	-						
Nigrospora	-	-	-			-			
Oidiodendron	-	-	-			-			
Pestalotia/Pestalotiopsis	-	-	-			-			
Total Fungi	-	No Trace	-						
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-			-			
Insect Fragment	-	-	-			_			
Pollen	-	-	-			-			
Fern/Moss	-	-	-			-			
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	0	-	-	_	_	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	0*	-			-			
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	-	-			-			
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	-	-			_			
Background (1-5)		-							

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Mun Un

Kevin Ream, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report relates the samples are seceived. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulates can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "\*" Denotes particles found at 300X. "-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Plymouth Meeting, PA AIHA-LAP, LLC-EMLAP Accredited #178659

Initial report from: 12/09/2020 12:08 PM

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com

EMS

ANALYTICAL,

HNC.

### **Microbiology Chain of Custody**

EMSL Order Number (Lab Use Only):

EMSL ANALYTICAL, INC. 200 ROUTE 130 NORTH CINNAMINSON, NJ 08077 PHONE: (800) 220-3675 FAX:(856) 786-0262

I

182	) 0 3 9 1	9
-----	-----------	---

Company Name:	Salut Inc		Bill to is Different note instructions in Comments						
Street: 1818 Nev	w York Ave NE Suite 231	Third Party Billing requires written authorization from third party							
City: Washington	State/Province: D	с	Zip/Postal Code: Country:						
Report To (Name	e): Indika jayatila	ke	Telephone #:						
Email Address:	i jay atilake gahoo	· com	Fax #:			Purchase O	rder:		
Project Name/Nu	imber: 19-035-James Ry	der Rande	Please Provide R	esults:	Fax [	] Email			
U.S. State Samp			20735 Conne				Residential		
	Sterile, Sodium Thiosulfate Prese								
Public	Water Supply Samples: 🗋 Note:	: All results ma	y automatically be	reported	to DOH if	required by st	ate.		
			<b>Options - Please C</b>						
3 Hour	6 Hour 24 Hour	48 Hour	12 72 Hour		6 Hour	🔲 1 Week	2 Week		
			y Test Codes	***	1 MAAT Com	Conce 1Ma	A		
M001 Air-O-Cell M030 Micro 5	M174 MoldSnap		nonas aeruginosa (P/A nonas aeruginosa (MF			age Screen - Wa age Screen - Wa			
	M032 Allergenco-D		ophic Plate Count		M117 Sewa	age Screen - Sw	ab (P/A***)		
M041 Fungal Direct M169 Pollen ID & E			liform & E. coli (Coliler liform & E. coli (MFT*)			age Screen - Sw nicillin-resistant S			
M280 Dust Characte		M114 Total Co	liform & E. coli Ènume		(MRSA)		,		
M281 Dust Charact		(Colilert MPN* M019 Fecal Co				d-growing non-Ti Enumeration	B Mycobacteria		
	Air Samples (Genus ID & Count) Air Samples (Includes Penicillium,	M020 Fecal St	reptococcus (MFT*)		M014 Endo	toxin Analysis			
Aspergillus, Clados	porium, Stachybotrys Species ID &	M029 Enteroco	occi (MFT*) occi (Enterolert P/A***)		Dust Mite)	p Allergen (Cat,	Dog, Cockroach,		
Count) M007 Cålturable fur	ngi - Surface Samples (Genus ID &	M180 Real Tim	ne qPCR-ERMI 36 Pan	el	Other See	Analytical Price			
Count)		M025_Sewage	Screen –Water (MFT*) Legionella Analysis Please use EMSL Legionella COC						
	ngi - Surface Samples (Includes illus, Cladosporium, Stachybotrys				Legionena				
Species ID & Count	;)	*MET= Membr	ane Eiltration Techniqu	A					
	ure Gram Stain & Count nt & ID - 3 Most Prominent	**MPN= Most F	F= Membrane Filtration Technique N= Most Probable Number						
	nt & ID - 5 Most Prominent	***P/A= Preser	nce/Absence						
Name of Sample	r: Shenal D	.a.	Signature of Sampler:						
Sample #	Sample Location/Description	Sample Type	Potable/ NonPotable (Only for Waters)	Test Code	Volume/ Area	Date/Time Collected	Temperature (C) (Lab Use Only)		
Evenuela Ad	Ka-L Oliver	1 105-1		10007		9/1/13			
Example A1 S (	HN betwee 112 & 111	Water	<u>⊠ P ⊡NP</u> □ P □NP	MO17 MOU	100 mL	4:00 PM 12 04 20			
52	HW Infront of 107			11	75 m	12 10 120			
53	HW between 102 and 10				8				
54	HW infront at Health re			15		41 10			
- 55	HW infront of Storage C			12	47	2			
Client Sample # (			Samples: 07.	Sample	es Receive (Lab Use Only		(es / No		
Relinquished (C)	ient)1/	Date:	······	Time:	//				
Received (Lab):	15 Chep box	Date:		Time:					
Comments/Spec	Al Instructions:					·	~		
	•						E 2020		
				<u> </u>			<u>Sr.</u> 3		
		Page <u>1</u>	of						
	Inc.'s Laboratory Terms and Conditions	are incorporated	into this chain of custo			entirety. Submis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
to EMSL Analytic	al, Inc. constitutes acceptance and ackn	owledgment of all	terms and conditions	by Custom	ler.		U Š Zr		
Controlled Docun	nent – COC-34 Micro R8 11/14/2017								
		Page 1 Of	3				0, ĉ		

OrderID: 182003919



### Microbiology Chain of Custody

EMSL Order Number (Lab Use Only):

 EMSL ANALYTICAL, INC. 200 ROUTE 130 NORTH CINNAMINSON, NJ 08077 PHONE: (800) 220-3675 FAX:(856) 786-0262

Additional pages of the chain of custody are only necessary if needed for additional sample information.

Sample #	Sample Location/Description	Sample Type	Potable/ NonPotable (Only for Waters)	Test Code	Volume/ Area	Date/Time Collected [2/04/20	Temperati (19)
56	Outside Field blank	Ain		Moor	95 ml	12/04/20	
57	field blank	٣		17	99	19	
				ļ			
					·		
				<b>_</b>			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					· ·		
							100
				<b>_</b>			
		 					andra andra Antonio antoni Antonio antoni
		 			·		
							10.35 are
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					l		
	·	·					
				<b> </b>			
		ļ 					
	I Instructions:						

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

EMSL Analytical, Inc.'s Laboratory Terms and Conditions are incorporated into this chain of custody by reference in their entirety. Submission of samples to EMSL Analytical, Inc. constitutes acceptance and acknowledgment of all terms and conditions by Customer.

# 182003919



# EMSL Analytical, Inc.

### Sample Transfer Form

Receiving Lab:	EMSL- BELTSV	ILLE	Phone Number:	3019375700				
			Fax Number:	3019375701				
Relinquished to:	EMSL- PLYMO	UTH MEETING	Phone Number:	8002203675				
			Fax Number:	8567860262				
Does new lab hold eq	uivalent or add	itional accreditation?		Yes No				
EMSL Customer ID # (if known):		SALU50						
Client Name:		SALUT INC						
Client Project:		19-035/JAMES RYDE	R RANDAL					
Tests to be Performed	l:	M001						
Date Received:		12/4/20						
Date Relinquished:	······································	12/7/20						
Date Due:		3 DAYS - DUE 12/9 @ 1:07 PM						
Special Instructions:		<u>+</u>		·····	·····			
e.g. Work Order # , re	•							
qualifications, project								
procedures/modificati		Deter	her (Ctanathera)		Deter			
Relinquished by (Signa	ature):	Date: Received	by (Signature):	7	Date:			
X. Nomarth		12/1/20	12/7/20					
Relinquished by (Signa	ature):	Date! Beceived	by (Signature):		12-5-20 1:40 Date:			
Customer Agreement- above named receiving					ou agree to permit the			
final report will be issu					-			
Name (please print):				nt of:	Date:			
16 41 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································				
If this is a recurring pro	•	type that may require .	samples to be re	linquished on a regula	ar basis, a Standing			
Agreement form must	be completed.							

\* Receiving and analyzing labs shall be aware of required qualifications of project prior to transfer of samples. Note: If customer has been notified and approved this transfer verbally or by e-mail, the receiving lab must sign for the customer above. EMSL employee filling out form on behalf of customer shall print name of person to whom they spoke, date agreement was received, and then sign under Signature.